10. VAINBERG M.M. and TRENOGIN V.A., Theory of the Bifurcation of Solutions of Non-linear Equations. Nauka, Moscow, 1969.

PMM U.S.S.R., Vol.49,No.4.pp.482-489,1985
Printed in Great Britian
© $021-8928 / 85 \$ 10.00+0 . \infty$
Pergamon Journals Ltd.

# ASYMPTOTIC FORM OF THE STRESS INTENSITY COEFFICIENTS IN QUASISTATIC TEMPERATURE PROBLEMS FOR A DOMAIN WITH A CUT* 

V.A. KOZLOV, V.G. MAZ'YA and V.z. PARTON


#### Abstract

Plane quasistatic thermoelasticity problems are investigated for domains of arbitrary shape with a cut in the case of an instataneous temperature change on the boundary. The asymptotic form of the stresses is investigated in the neighbourhood of a crack tip.

Certain quasistatic temperatue problems were solved earlier in / / $5 /$ (see $/ 6 /$ also) for the development of cracks on parts of whose surfaces a constant temperature occurs at the initial instant and is maintained. Expressions are obtained for the stress intensity coefficients at the crack tip.

Quasistationary thermoelasticity problems are investigated below for domains with cut in a more general asymptotic sense. A plane domain with a cut whose boundary is instantaneously cooled or heated is examined in Sects.l-3. Since the shape of the domain contour can be arbitrary, it is impossible to speak of the explicit solution of the thermoelasticity boundary value problem. Nevertheless, an expression is successfully found for the principal terms of the asymptotic form of the stress intensity coefficients at the most dangerous initial times (from the viewpoint of crack propagation). In particular, the asymptotic form of the fracture time is determined as a function of the temperature jump at the crack tip.

Note that the principal term of the tensile stress intensity coefficient is independent of the contour shape, and agrees with the intensity coefficient of the same problemi for a plane with a cut.

Analogous results are obtained in Sec. 4 for the problem of an instantaneous change in the endface temperature of a thin plate from whose side surfaces heat is transferred to the external medium, where the stress intensity coefficients found are explicitly expressed in terms of those in the absence of heat transfer. This enables an asymptotic analysis to be made of the stresses near a crack tip at the initial times.

The results obtained in this paper emerge from the asymptotic solution of the heat conduction equations as $t \rightarrow 0$ for a domain with a cut and the method proposed in /7/ for calculating the stress intensity coefficients.


1. Formulation of the boundary value problems. To be specific we will examine plane strain. As is well-known, the plane state of stress with zero heat transfer from the external medium is realized on replacing the Lame constant $\lambda$ by $\lambda_{*}=2 \lambda \mu(\lambda+2 \mu)$, and $\gamma$ by $\gamma_{*}=(1-2 v) \gamma(1-v)$, where $\gamma=2 \mu \alpha_{T}(1+v) /(1-2 v) ; \mu$ is the shear modulus, $\alpha_{T}$ is the coefficient of linear expansion, and $v$ is Poisson's ratio, in which connection, only the appropriate constants vary inthe asymptotic formulas indicated later.

Let $\Omega_{0}$ be a plane domain with a smooth boundary $\Gamma_{0}$ (see the Figure). There is a rectilinear cut of length $l$ in $\Omega_{0}$ that connects the origin $O \in \Omega_{0}$ with the point $A \in \Gamma_{0}$. We denote the upper and lower edges of the cut by $l_{-}$and $l_{-}$. We understand $I$ to be the contour $\Gamma_{0}$ supplemented with two drawn segments $i^{+}$and $\bar{\Omega}$ to be the domain bounded by $T$ Let $\Omega^{c}$ be the closure of the domain $\Omega$ in the sense of its internal metric. To simplify the discussion, we will consider the angle formed by the contour $\Gamma_{0}$ and the segment $l$ to be a right angle, and the contour $\Gamma_{0}$ itself to be rectilinear near the point $A$.

The temperature $T$ is determined from the solution of the boundary value problem
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial T \hat{\partial t}-\Delta T=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.1}\\
& T=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma \times(0, \infty),\left.T\right|_{t=0}=T_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

The thermal diffusivity is thereby assumed to be equal to one, which obviously does not restrict the generality.

The displacement vector $u$ generated by this temperature field is found from the solution of the following boundary value problem ( $\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the normal and tangent to $\Gamma$ ):

presence of corner points $0, A$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}= \\
\gamma \operatorname{grad} T \text { on } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

$\lambda \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}+2 \mu \partial u_{n}^{\prime} \partial n=\gamma T$ on $\Gamma$
$\mu\left(\partial u_{n} / \partial \tau+\partial u_{\tau} / \partial n\right)=0$ on $\Gamma$
2. Asymptotic form of the temperature as $t \rightarrow+0$. The solutions of three model problems are required to describe the singularities in the temperature $T$ on $\Gamma$ as $t \rightarrow+0$, related to the
$1^{\circ}$. Selfsimilar solutions for a plane with a cut, quadrant, and half-plane. Let $I$ be the solution of the homogeneous heat conduction equation in the domain $\{(x, t): r>0,|\theta|<\pi$, $t>0\}$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $(r, \theta)$ are the polar coordinates of the point $x$. The function $L$ is subjected to boundary and initial conditions: $\left.L\right|_{\theta= \pm \pi}=0,\left.L\right|_{i=0}=1$. We shall seek $L$ in the form $L=l(\rho, \theta)$, where $\rho=r^{2} .(4 t)$, and we obtain the following boundary value problem for $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\hat{\partial} \theta^{2}}\right) l=0, \quad l_{\theta= \pm \pi}=0, \quad l_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{j}(\theta)=\pi^{-1} \cdot \sin ^{1}{ }_{2} j(\theta+\pi)\left(j=1,2\right.$. . . ) be eigenfunctions of the operator $d^{2} d \theta^{2}$ in the segment $[-\pi, \pi]$ with Dirichlet conditions on its ends. Keeping in mind the Fourier series in the system of functions $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ for the ones, it is natural to represent $l$ in the form of the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\rho, \theta)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{2 l+1} l(\rho) \cos \left(j-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Satisfying the boundary value problem, we obtain

$$
l_{i}(0)=\frac{\Gamma \rho^{3},-12 \eta}{\Gamma\left(^{3} z+1\right)}
$$

where $\Phi$ is the degenerate hypergeometric function $/ 8 /$. The asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\rho, \theta)=\frac{4}{7} \frac{\Gamma \rho^{5} \cdot \rho^{\prime}}{\Gamma\left(x^{3} ; 2\right)} \rho^{2} \cdot \cos \frac{\theta}{2}+O\left(r^{\prime} \cdot\right), \quad \rho \rightarrow-0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence follows.
It is more convenient to find the asymptotic form of the function $l$ for large $\rho$ directly from the boundary value problem (2.1). Let $\%$ be a smooth function on the positive half-axis that equals one in $\left[0 .{ }^{1}{ }_{4}\right]$ and zero in $[1, \infty)$. We shall seek the asymptotic form of the function $l$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\rho . \theta)=1-\%(\tau) g\left(\rho \sin ^{2} \tau\right)-q(\rho . \theta) . \tau=\tau-|\theta| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1) and integrating, we obtain that $g(z)=\operatorname{erfc} \boldsymbol{l}^{\boldsymbol{r}} \bar{z}$.
The function $q$ is the solution of a boundary value problem analogous to (2.1), where $O\left(e^{-b \rho}\right)$ is on the right side of the equation in place of zero (b is a certain positive number). It can be shown by expanding $q(\rho, \theta)$ in a trigonometric series in $\sin ^{1} i_{2} k(\theta+\pi)$ or by using energy estimates that $g$ decreases as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ more rapidly than any power of $\rho$ (the lengthy proof of this fact is omitted).

The selfsimilar solution $M\left(r^{2}(4 t) . \theta\right)$ is constructed analogously for the quadrant $Q=\{x$ : $r>0,0<\theta<\pi 2\}$, i.e., the solution of the homogeneous heat conduction equation in $Q \times(0$. $\infty$ ) that satisfies the conditions $\left.M\right|_{\theta=0 . \pi / 2}=0,\left.M\right|_{=0}=1$. The explicit form of the function $M$ is not used. It is useful just to keep in mind the asymptotic formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(\rho, \theta)=c \rho \sin 2 \theta+O\left(\rho^{\prime} \cdot\right), \rho \rightarrow 0 \\
& M(\rho . \theta)-1-\chi(\tau) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\rho^{\prime}, \sin \tau\right)+O\left(\rho^{-N}\right), \rho \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi$ is the same shearing function as before, $\tau=\min \{\theta, \pi / 2-\theta\}$ and $N$ is any positive number.

The solution $G(x, t)=\operatorname{erf}\left(x_{1} /\left(2 t^{2} ; t\right)\right)$ of the homogeneous heat conduction equation in the domain $\left\{(x, t): t>0, x_{1}>0\right\}$ that satisfies the conditions $\left.G\right|_{x_{1}=0}=0,\left.G\right|_{t=0}=1$ is later also required.
$2^{\circ}$. Local estimate. To estimate the residuals occuring on replacing $T$ by the selfsimilar solutions constructed above, we prove the following assertion about the local estimate for the solutions of the heat conduction equation.

Lemma. Let $U, V$ be domains in the plane $\{x\}, O \subset V$ and $R$ a function from $C\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; W_{2}{ }^{1}(\Omega)\right) ?$ $C^{1}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; V_{2}^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ that satisfies the initial boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a . \partial t-\Delta) R=f \quad \text { on }\left\{(x, t): 0<t<t_{0}, x \in \Omega \cap V\right\}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left.R\right|_{:=0}=0 \quad \text { on } \Omega \cap V, R=0 \quad \text { on }\left\{(x, t): 0<t<t_{0}, x \equiv \Gamma \cap \cup\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exists a postive constant $c$ dependent on $U$, $V$ such that

Proof. Let $F \cong C^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$ be a non-negative even function such that $F(O)=0,0 \leqslant F^{\prime \prime} \leqslant c$. We also introduce the non-negative function $\eta \equiv C_{0}{ }^{x}\left(\eta \cap \Omega^{c}\right)$ that equals one in $U \cap \Omega$. Multiplying (2.5) by $\eta F^{\prime}(R)$ and integrating by parts, we find

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \eta F(R) d x \div \int_{Q} \eta F^{\prime \prime}(R)\left|\Gamma_{x} R\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(R) \Delta \eta d x=\int_{Q} \right\rvert\, F^{\prime}(R) \eta d x
$$

therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega} \eta F(R) d x \leqslant c \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\square}\left(i| |^{\prime} \eta-|F(R)|^{p(x-1)} \eta-F(R)|\Delta \eta|\right) d z d \tau
$$

Let $F=\left(R^{2}+\delta^{2} \rho^{2}-\delta^{n} . \delta>0\right.$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ When $p>2$ we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(R_{i}=|R|^{p} \quad \text { for } \quad|R|<T: \quad F i R,=2^{-1} p(p-1) T^{p-2}|R|^{2}-\right. \\
p(p-2) T^{i-1} \mid R ; 2^{-1}\langle p-1,(p-2 T \quad \text { for }|R|>T
\end{gathered}
$$

Passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0 . T-\infty$, we obtain the estimate (2.6).
We note that for $f=0$ the solution of problem (2.5) allows of the following estimate on $\left\{0 . t_{0}\right] \times(\Omega)$ (it is obtained froc (2.6) by induction over $N$ ):
30. Asymptotic form of the function $T$. Let $\delta$ be a small positive number $L_{c}(O)=\{$ : $0<r<\delta .|\theta|<\pi\}$. By virtue of the estimate (2.7) the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(\cdot, t)-T_{0} L(\cdot, t) L_{L_{2}}\left(L_{0}(0) \leqslant c_{N} T_{0} t^{N}, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty\right. \\
& N=0,1 \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I$ is the function defined in Sec. $1^{c}$. The following inequality, used later, is therefore obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{-r^{-3}}\left|T(x, t)-T_{0} L(x, t)\right| d x \leqslant c t^{*} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(r . \theta)$ be polar coordinates with centre the point $A$ and $L_{0}(A)=\{x: 0<r<\delta .0<|\theta|<$ $\pi 2\}$

Again applying the estimate (2.7) to $R=T-T_{0} M$. we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\cdot t)-T_{0} M(\cdot, t) L_{1}(U \partial \cdot \rightarrow)<c_{A} T_{0} t v \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M$ is the selfsimilar solution determined in Sec. 10 for the first quadrant, that is continued in a clear manner into the fourth quadrant.

Let $P$ now be any point of the contour $\Gamma$ such that

$$
|P-A|>\delta,|P-O|>\delta ; U_{\partial}(P)=\left\{x \equiv \Omega_{:}|x-P|<\delta\right\} .
$$

We introduce the coordinates $(n, s)$ in $l_{0}(P)$ where $n$ is the distance to $\Gamma,|s|$ is the distance from the nearest point to $x$ on the contour $\Gamma$ to $P$, and the sign of $s$ is selected in conformity with the positive direction of traversing the contour. The Laplace operator in ( $n, s$ ) coordinates has the form

$$
\zeta^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{\partial}}{\partial n} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial n}+\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right), \quad \zeta=1-n k(s)
$$

( $k$ is the curvature). Consequently

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right) \operatorname{ert}\left(\frac{n}{2 t^{2^{2}}}\right)=-\frac{k(s)}{\zeta(\pi t)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 t}\right)
$$

In view of the maximum principle, for the heat-conduction equation

$$
\left|T-T_{0} \operatorname{eri}\left(\frac{n}{2 t^{3} \cdot t}\right)\right| \leqslant T_{0}
$$

Moreover, the boundary and initial values of the function $T, T_{0}$ erf $\left(n / 2 t^{\prime}, z\right)$ agree in $U_{\delta}(P)$; consequently, on the basis of inequality (2.6) we obtain

And finally, let $Q$ be any point of the domain $\Omega$ such that the distance between it and the boundary $\Gamma$ is greater than $\delta$. Let $l_{0}(Q)$ be a circle of radius $\delta$ with centre the point $Q$.

By virtue of estimate (2.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T(\cdot, t)-T_{0}\right\|_{L_{N}\left(C_{a} Q\right)} \leqslant c_{N} T_{0} t^{N}, \quad N=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Asymptotic form of the stress intensity coefficients as $t \rightarrow+0 . \quad 1^{c}$. Asymptotic form of displacements near the crack tip. We consider the boundary value problem (1.2) in which the time $t$ enters as a parameter. If $t>0$, then the quantities grad $T$ and $T$ have weak singularities at the crack tip, and consequently, the asymptotic form of the solution of this problem is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{r}, u_{\theta}\right)(r . \theta)=c_{1}(\cos \theta .-\sin \theta) \div c_{2}(\sin \theta, \cos \theta)- \\
& \quad(4 \mu)^{-1} 1^{\prime 2 \pi}\left(K_{1} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\theta)-K_{13} 4^{(11)}(\theta)\right)-0(r) r \rightarrow 0 \\
& 4^{(1)}(\theta)=((2 x-1) \cos \theta 2-\cos 3 \theta 2-(2 x-1) \sin \theta 2- \\
& \quad \sin 3 \theta 2) \\
& 4^{(1)}(\theta)=((2 x-1) \sin \theta 2-3 \sin 3 \theta 2 .(2 x-1) \cos \theta 2-3 \cos 3 \theta 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $u_{r}, u_{\theta}$ are components of the displacement vector in a polar coordinate system, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are certain functions of time, $K_{1} . K_{11}$ are stress intensity coefficients dependent on $i . x=$ $3-4 v$ for plane strain and $x=(3-v)^{\prime}(v-1)$ for the plane state of stress.

Following /7/, we describe the procedure for calculating the coefficients $K_{1}$ and $K_{11}$. Let $\mathbf{z}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(11)}$ denote the displacement fields satisfying the homogeneous Lame equations and the boundary conditions $a\left(\mathbf{z}^{(j)}\right) \cdot n=0$ on $\Gamma$, bounded outside any neighbourhood of the point $O$ and having the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z_{r}^{(i)} z_{\theta}^{(i))}(r \cdot \theta)-\left[2(1-x)(2 \pi)^{2} \cdot\right]^{-1} \psi^{(3)}(\theta) \div 0(1) \cdot r \rightarrow 0\right. \\
& \Psi^{(3)}(\theta)=((2 x-1) \cos 3 \theta 2-3 \cos \theta 2 .-(2 x- \\
& \quad 1) \sin 3 \theta 2-3 \sin \theta 2) \\
& \Psi^{(1)}(\theta)=((2 x \div 1) \sin 3 \theta 2-\sin \theta 2 \cdot(2 x-1) \cos 3 \theta 2-\cos \theta 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $T=0$ on $\Gamma \times(U, \approx)$ and $\sigma_{n}(C)=\sigma_{n 5}(U)=0$ on $\Gamma$. then according to $/ 7 /$ for $t>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}(t)=\gamma \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad} T(x, t) z^{\prime j}(x) d x, \quad j=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts in (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{j}(t)=-\gamma \int_{\Omega} T(x, t) h_{2}(x) d x=-\gamma \int_{\Omega}\left(T(x, t)-T_{0}\right) h_{j}(x) d x  \tag{3.2}\\
& h_{j}(x)=\operatorname{div} z^{(j)}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

The following equation was used here

$$
\int_{\Gamma} z_{n}^{(j)} d \Gamma=0
$$

which follows from the Betti formula for the vectors $z^{(j)}$, $x$. We note that $h_{j}=h_{2}^{(0)}+O\left(r^{-1 / 2}\right)$, where

$$
h_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{II}}=\frac{(1-x)}{(1+x) \sqrt{2 \pi}} r^{-H_{1}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \\
\sin
\end{array}\right\} \frac{3 \theta}{2}
$$

20. Asymptotic form of the stress intensity coefficients. Theorem 1. The asymptotic formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}(t)=\frac{4}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \mu m T_{0} t^{\prime} 4+\frac{2 \gamma T_{0}}{\pi^{2 / 4}} t^{2^{\prime},} \int_{\Gamma} h_{1}(x) d \Gamma+O\left(t^{\prime} \cdot 4\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& K_{11}(t)=\frac{2 \gamma T_{0}}{\pi^{2 / 4}} t^{t^{\prime}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma} h_{11}(x) d \Gamma+O\left(t^{\prime} / 4, \quad t \rightarrow+0\right.
\end{align*}
$$

hold, where $m=\alpha_{T}(1+v)(1-v)^{-1}, \gamma=2 \mu \alpha_{T}(1+v)(1-2 v)^{-1}$ for plane strain and $m=\alpha_{T}(1+v)$, $\gamma=2 \mu \alpha_{T}(1+v)(1-v)^{-1}$ for the plane state of stress with zero heat transfer from the external medium.

The integrals in (3.3) are understood in the principal value sense:

$$
\int_{\Gamma} h_{j}(x) d \Gamma=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)} h_{j}(x) d \Gamma, \quad C(\varepsilon)=\{x \in \Gamma:|x-0| \geqslant \varepsilon\}
$$

The limit on the right exists because

$$
\left(\int_{C\left(\xi_{1}\right)}-\int_{C\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}\right)_{j}^{(0)} d \Gamma=0
$$

Proof. We fix a sufficiently small number $\delta>0$. Let $\Gamma_{0}=\{x \in \Omega:$ dist $(x, \Gamma)<0), l_{0}(0\}$ and $l_{0}(A)$ are neighbourhoods of the points 0 and $A$ defined in sec. $1.2, \Gamma_{00}=\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(C_{0}\left(O_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}(A)\right.\right.$ ). we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{0 j}(t)=-\int_{U_{b}(\hat{O})}\left(L-1 j h_{j} d x, \quad J_{A j}(t)=-\int_{L_{0}(A)}(M-1) h_{j} d x\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
& I_{A_{j}}(t)=\int_{\Gamma_{o j}}^{\operatorname{erjc}}\left(\frac{n}{2^{2} ;}\right) h_{j}(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is the distance to the boundary. Let

$$
R_{j}\left\{t,=R_{j}(t)-{ }_{i} T_{0}\left(I_{0 j}(t)-I_{, i j}(t)-I_{1 j}(t)\right)\right.
$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_{0 s}^{+}}\left(T-T_{0} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\mu}{2 t^{2}}\right)\right)^{h_{j}} d x-\int_{\Omega-\Gamma_{0}}\left(T-T_{0} i_{j} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h_{j}=O\left(r^{-1}=\right.$, then by using the estimates (2.8)-(2.11), we obtain that $R_{j}(t)=O\left(t_{1}\right.$.
Therefore, to obtain (3,3) it is sufficient to investigate the functions $I_{0 j}, I_{A j}, I_{1 j}$ for small $t$ and we will therefore do this.

We evaluate the integrais

$$
J_{0 j}(t)=-\prod_{10 i j}(L-1 ;)^{0 ;} d x
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{01}(t)=-\int_{0}^{0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2 k-1}\left(t_{k}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}\right)-1\right) \cos \left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta \frac{(1-x)}{(1+x) \sqrt{2 \pi}}  \tag{3.5}\\
& r^{-1}=\cos \frac{3}{2} \theta d r d \theta=\frac{2(1-x)}{3 \sqrt{\pi}(1-x)} t^{\prime} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(l_{1}(x)-1\right) x^{-3} \cdot d x-a(t)
\end{align*}
$$

The relationship $l_{1}(x)-1=O\left(x^{-1}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ was used here. Integrating by parts in the last integral in (3.5) and applying the formula /8/

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x}\left[e^{-x x^{c-a}} \Phi(a, c ; x)\right]=(c-a) e^{-x} x^{c-a-1} \Phi(a-1, \varepsilon ; z)
\end{aligned}
$$

we find

$$
J_{01}(t)=\frac{2 \Gamma(1 / 4)(x-1)}{\pi^{1 / 4} \Gamma(5 / \pi)(x+1)} t^{1 /} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \Phi\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{2} ; x\right) d x+O(t)=\frac{4}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \frac{x-1}{x+1} t^{1 / 4}+O(t)
$$

Since $L$ is an even and $h_{11}^{(0)}$ is an odd function of $\theta$, then $J_{02}=0$.
We use the notation

$$
H_{j}=h_{j}-h_{j}^{(0)}, \quad J_{1 j}=J_{0 j}-J_{0 j}=-\int_{V_{0}(O)}(L-1) H_{j} d x
$$

We represent $J_{1 j}$ as the sum of two integrals, the first of which is extended over the set $\left\{x: 0<r<t^{\prime / t},|\theta|<\pi\right\}$ and the second over the set $\left\{x: t^{2 / t}<r<\delta,|\theta|<\pi\right\}$. By virtue of the estimate $\left|H_{j}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{cr}^{-1}$, and the boundedness of the function $I$ the first integral equals $O\left(r^{3} \%\right.$. We replace the function $L-1$ in the second integral by its asymptotic form

$$
-x(\tau) \operatorname{erfc}\left(r \frac{\sin \tau}{2 t^{1 / 4}}\right)-O\left(\left(\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}\right)^{-N}\right), \quad \frac{r^{2}}{4 t} \rightarrow \infty
$$

and the function $H_{j}$ by the sum $H_{j}^{ \pm}(r)+O\left(\operatorname{tr}^{-2, i}\right)$, where $H_{j}^{ \pm}=\lim H_{j}$ as $\theta \rightarrow \pm \pi$. Then

$$
I_{1_{j}}(t)=\int_{t^{\prime}=0}^{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{r \sin \tau}{2 t^{\prime}=}\right) d \tau\left(H_{j}^{+}+H_{j}^{-}\right) r d r \div O\left(t^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\delta} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{r \sin T}{2 t^{2} ;}\right) d \tau=\frac{2}{\sqrt{ } \pi r} t^{\prime}=-O\left(\frac{t}{r^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(the integral is understood in the principal value sense). Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{01}(t)=\frac{4}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \frac{x-1}{x-1} t^{2 / 4}+\frac{2 t^{2}}{V \pi} \int_{i 0} k_{1} d \Gamma-O\left(t^{x}\right) \\
& I_{02}(t)=\frac{2 t^{\prime}:}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{i_{0}} k_{11} d \Gamma-O\left(t^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The asymptotic form of the integrals $I_{A_{i}} I_{j i}$ is found similarly, even somewhat more simply, and has the form
from which (3.3) follows.
Remark. According to the theorem proved, the sum of the squares of the intensity coefficients $\bar{K}^{2}=K_{1}^{2}+K_{11}^{2}$ grows as const $i^{2}$, for small $t$. From the representation of the temperature as an eigenfunction series of the Laplace operator with homogeneous Dirichlet conditions on $\Gamma$, it follows that $\bar{K}^{2}(t)$ - const exp $\left(-2 h_{1} t\right.$ for large $t$, where $\dot{\lambda}_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace operator. Since $\bar{X}^{2}$ is a continuous function of time (see (3.2)), at a certain time it reaches a maximum. If this maximum is sufficiently small, the crack is stable.

According to Theorem 1, for $T_{0}>0$ the coefficient $K_{1}$ is positive for small t,i.e., tensile stresses originate at the crack tip during cooling of the contour $\Gamma$. When $T_{0}<0$ the stresses will be compressive. Ir particular, the asymptotic form of the time $t^{*}$ of the beginning of crack propagation

$$
t^{*} \sim\left(\frac{\pi}{\left.4 \Gamma r^{3}\right)} \frac{K_{I C}}{\mu^{n}} \frac{1}{T_{0}}\right)^{4}, \quad T_{0} \gg 1
$$

is determined from (3.3).
Here $T_{0}$ is the jump in temperature at the crack tip, and $K_{1 c}$ is the critical value of the tensile stress intensity coefficient.
4. Taking account of heat transfer. Let $\Omega$ be the same domain as before, and $T(x, t)$ the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T-\sigma^{2} T-\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation describes the mean temperature distribution, over the thickness, in a thin plate $\Omega \times[-h, h]$ on whose side surfaces heat transfer from the surrounding zero temperature medium occurs according to Newton's law, $\sigma^{2}=k / h$, where $k$ is the coefficient of relative thermal efficiency.

As in Sect.1.1, we assume that the plate had the temperature $T_{0}$ at the initial instant, and then its endfaces instantaneously acquired the temperature $T_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t=0}=T_{0},\left.\quad T\right|_{\Gamma}=T_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad t>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacements originating in the plate satisfy the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{*} \Delta \mathbf{U} \div\left(\mu+\lambda_{*}\right) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dic} \mathbf{U}=\gamma_{*} \operatorname{grad} T \quad \text { on } \Omega \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\lambda_{*} \operatorname{div} \mathrm{U}+2 \mu \frac{\partial U_{n}}{\partial n}=\gamma_{*} T \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma
$$

$$
\mu\left(\frac{\partial U_{n}}{\partial \tau}+\frac{\partial U_{\tau}}{\partial n}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma
$$

$$
\left(\lambda_{*}=2 \lambda \mu(\lambda+2 \mu)^{-1}, \quad \gamma_{*}=2 \mu \alpha_{T}(1+v)(1-v)^{-1}\right)
$$

Let $F$ be the solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\partial F / \partial t-\Delta F=0,\left.F\right|_{t=0}=1,\left.F\right|_{r}=0
$$

It is confirmed directly that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, t)=\exp \left(-\sigma^{2} t\right)\left(T_{0}-T_{1}\right) F(x, t)+T_{1}\left(1-\sigma^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} \tau\right) F(x, \tau) d \tau\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies problem (4.1), (4.2). Let $Q_{1}(t), Q_{I I}(t)$ dencte the stress intensity coefficients generated by the temperature field $F$ in a plate with zero heat transfer from the external medium. Aiso let $K_{1}(t), K_{11}(t)$ be stress intensity coefficients in the initial problem. It follows from (3.2) and (4.4) that $(j=1, I I)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}(t)=\exp \left(-\sigma^{2} t\right)\left(T_{0}-T_{1}\right) Q_{j}(t)-\sigma^{2} T_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} \tau\right) Q_{j}(\tau) d \tau \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 1 , we hence obtain the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
K_{1}(t) & =K_{1}^{(0)}(t)+R_{1}(t) \text { where } \\
K_{1}^{(0)}(t) & =\frac{4}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \mu(1+v) \alpha_{T} \sigma^{-1 / 2} T_{2} S\left(\frac{T_{0}-T_{1}}{T_{1}}, \sigma^{2} t\right) \\
S(\Lambda, y) & =\Lambda e^{-k} y^{2} \cdot-\int_{0}^{\mu} e^{-\tau^{1} \cdot d \tau}
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { The resiaue } R_{1} \text { and the intensity coefficient } K_{11} \text { allow of the estimate }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|R_{\mathrm{I}}(t)\right| \div\left|K_{\mathrm{Il}}(t)\right| \leqslant c\left(\left|T_{\mathrm{u}}\right|+\left|T_{1}\right|\right) \min \left\{\sigma^{-1}, t^{1} t\right\}
$$

where $c$ is independent of $T_{0}, T_{1}, \sigma, t$.
By virtue of (4.5) and (3.3), for $\sigma^{2} t \leqslant 1$ the coefficients $K_{1}, K_{11}$ have the same asymptotic value as in the absence of heat transfer (see (3.3)). The stresses near the crack tip are thereby compressive for $T_{1}>T_{0}$ and tensile for $T_{1}<T_{0}$ for small $\sigma^{2} t$.

When $\sigma^{2} t \geqslant 1, t \ll 1$ we have

$$
K_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \sim-\sqrt{2} \mu(1-v) \alpha_{T} \sigma^{-1} T_{1}
$$

and, in particular, the stresses will be tensile (compressive) for $T_{1}<0\left(T_{1}>0\right)$.
Let us study the nature of the stresses in the intermediate zone of variation of $\theta^{2} t$ by limiting ourselves to the principal term of the asymptotic form $K_{1}{ }^{(0)}$ ( $\%$. Its behaviour depends on the sign of the numbers $T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{0}-T_{1}$.

If $T_{1}>0, T_{0}<T_{1}$ or $T_{1}<T_{0}<0$ then the function $K_{1}{ }^{(0)}{ }^{(t)}$ varies monotonically between zero and $\quad K_{1}{ }^{(0)}(\infty)=-\sqrt{2} \mu(1+v) \alpha_{+} \sigma^{-1} T_{1}$.

If $T_{1}<0, T_{0}>0, T_{0}>T_{1}$, then $K_{1}^{(0)}(t)>0$ and at the time $t_{m}=\sigma^{2}\left(T_{0}-T_{1}\right) /\left(4 T_{0}\right)$ takes the greatest value

$$
K_{1}^{(0)}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{4}{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \mu(1-v) 3^{-1}, 2 T_{1} S\left(\frac{T_{0}-T_{1}}{T_{1}}, \frac{T_{0}-T_{1}}{4 T_{0}}\right)
$$

When $0<T_{1}<T_{0}$ the function $K_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ is positive in the interval $\left(0, t_{0}\right), t_{0}=\sigma^{-2} R\left(\left(T_{0}-T_{1}\right) / T_{1}\right)$, where $y=R(1)$ is the single positive root of the equation $s(1, y)=0$. For $t>t_{0}$ it is negative and varies between zero and $K_{1}^{(0)}(\infty)$. The greatest value of the function $K_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ is $K_{i}^{(0)}\left(t_{2}\right)$.

Finally, for $T_{0}<T_{1}<0$ the quantity $K_{0}^{(0)}(t)$ is negative in the interval ' $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$, changes sign at the time $t_{0}$, and increases monotonically to $K_{1}^{(0)}(\infty)$.

The following asymptotic formulas are confirmed directiy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}^{(0)}\left(t_{*}\right) \sim \frac{4 e^{-1 / 4}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \mu(1+v) \sigma^{-1 / 4}\left(T_{0}-T_{1}\right), \quad\left|T_{0}\right| \gg\left|T_{1}\right| \\
& K_{1}^{(0)}\left(t_{v}\right) \sim \frac{8 \sqrt{2}}{5.2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \mu(1+v) \sigma^{-1 / 2} T_{1}\left(\frac{T_{0}-T_{3}}{T_{2}}\right)^{t_{4}}, \quad T_{0} T_{1}^{-1} \rightarrow 1+0
\end{aligned}
$$

| sgn 9 | $\mathrm{sg}_{81} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ |  | Kind of stress | Stability criterion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | $\pm$ | - | Compressive | The crack is stable |
| $+$ | - | + | Tensile | $K_{1}^{01}\left(t_{*}\right)<K_{1 c}$ |
| - | - | $\dagger$ | Tensile | $K_{1}^{(0)}(\infty)<K_{1 C}$ |
| $\pm$ | + | $+$ | Tensile for $t<t_{0}$ Compressive for ${ }^{\prime}>\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\kappa_{1}^{(0)}\left(t_{*}\right)<K_{1 C}$ |
| - | - | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Compressive for } \\ \text { t }<t_{0}, \\ \text { Tensile for } \\ i>t_{0} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\kappa_{1}^{(0)}(\infty)<K_{1} C$ |

The time $t_{0}$ is an increasing function of the ratio $T_{0} T_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{0} \sim \frac{5}{4}=-\frac{T_{0}-T_{1}}{T_{1}}, \quad T_{0} T_{2}^{-1} \rightarrow 1+0 \\
& t_{0} \sim=-2 \log \frac{T_{0}}{T_{1}}, T_{0} T_{1}^{-1} \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Deductions from the investigation made on the function $K_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ axe collected in the table ( $K_{1 C}$ is the critical value of the tensile stress intensity coefficient).
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